Decision Support Model for Evaluating the Customer Service Performance by Mamdani and Multi-stage Rule Base

Didit Nugeraha Utama¹, Siti Sumiyati¹, Irmawan Rahyadi², Norhaslinda

Zainal Abidin³, Norazura Ahmad³, Aida Mauziahh Benjamin³, Wan

Laailatul Hanim Binti Mat Desa³

¹ Computer Science Department, BINUS Graduate Program – Master of Computer Science, Bina Nusantara University, Jakarta, Indonesia 11480

² Communication Department, BINUS Graduate Program – Master of Strategic Marketing Communication, Bina Nusantara University, Jakarta, Indonesia 11480

³ Pusat Pengajian Sains Kuantitatif, Universiti Utara Malaysia, 06010 Sintok, Kedah Malaysia

siti_sumiyati@binus.ac.id (Corresponding Author)

Abstract. Employee performance evaluation is challenging, since it involves many parameters and uncertain conditions. Customer Service (CS) holds a crucial role in the telecommunication industry. Nowadays. Indonesian telecommunication needs standard evaluation for employees in the customer service field. The Decision Support Model (DSM) is reported to be suitable for measuring employee performance. The researcher combined DSM with fuzzy logic to have flexibility in evaluating performance and evading biased data parameters. The purpose of this research is to construct a DSM of customer service evaluation performance at Indonesian telecommunication companies. The researcher started by determining parameters, collecting data of assessments from current company and questionnaires, processing fuzzy logic using the Mamdani method, and evaluating the results. The model showed 13 parameters which consist of competence, compensation, training, discipline, motivation, teamwork, initiative, quality of work, responsiveness, tangible, assurance, reliability, and empathy. The evaluation of the model has been done and resulted in practical and in conclusion of theoretical implications as standard of telecommunication companies for customer service evaluation performance. The constructed model is proposed to assist the company as a strategic way for monitoring and evaluating employees with ranking and categories of performance. All categories

can give quick action to assess employees' bad performance in improving and monitoring performance of customer service periodically as the standard assessment given to the company. The proposed method can be referenced as a novel multi-stage rule-based method.

Keywords: Fuzzy Logic; Evaluation; Decision Support Model, Customer Service; Mamdani; Multi-Stage Rule Base

1. Introduction

The telecommunications industry is cultivating rapidly, which affects economic development, and encourages the progression of competition and quick response to market changing. Nowadays, businesses and customers interact frequently. Customer loyalty must be maintained and improved to sustain the company's strategy. Therefore, to be the leading companies, they need to enrich corporate image continually and assess their employee performance (Ju, 2022). The basic strategy of the competitive market is to survive and succeed by striving high-quality service to enhance employee performance (Beheshtinia & Farzaneh Azad, 2019). The improvement of employee performance has influenced the company's competitive advantage (Nguyen et al, 2021).

A national-scaled telecommunication company based in Indonesia uses Customer Service (CS) as a direct employee service in the branch office to increase service quality, customer loyalty, and company benefit. CS is the key person of customer interaction in the Branch that ensures company performance in the prominent industry and affects long-term trust positively. Customer service performance is a strategic quality to deliver assistance that increases employee capabilities and boosts competitive company value (Scheidt & Chung, 2019). The CS branch is in most of the Indonesia area. In addition, the other problem is the increase competition between companies in the same industry that requires maintaining and increasing customer satisfaction for both new and old customers, especially when customers visit the service office at the company. As the company does not have a standard procedure to assess CS employee performance, CS performance evaluation needs to be built to track individual achievement and improve other opportunities to recognize individual potencies and review achievement levels in performing excellent service of company's primary purpose. Studies related to assessment have been established to improve motivation and productivity for the employee at firms. However, it is hard to cogitate over numerous elements at a time due to accessibility personnel performance of an organization in overall.

The Decision Support Model (DSM) has been widely used for performance evaluation. Several researchers have reported the results of DSM to assess performance as service excellence needed be delivered effectively and efficiently with objective results, supportive excellent service of quality, and improvement in employee performance. CS has a crucial function for employees that can directly support the strategy of company. The research that related to the performance's evaluation model to overcome difficulties in determining performance of organization has been done by using the Fuzzy Logic (FL) method (Gupta, 2018). In education field, DSM with Sugeno technique was successfully applied in giving the decision performance (Kurniawan & Utama, 2021). The model involved 17 relevant parameters that has been justified and verified as practical methods to evaluate performance of academics effectively. This approach could help academics to give the best recommendation and alert to monitoring, evaluating, and improving the quality of education. There was also a model to eliminate the subjectivity in evaluating the performance by using the DSM method. The DSM to determine the most exemplary performance output by using criteria of weight and fuzzy method based on indicator parameters (Izquierdo et al., 2018; Schipani 2019). Another DSM research used a fuzzy model for employee performance evaluation in appraisals that can determine the provision of incentives, promotions, and penalties (Zaaidatunni'mah et al., 2021). Employee performance evaluation in the telecom industry has been constructed with a classic statistical method (Kalyan & Pedirappagari, 2019).

The DSM with fuzzy logic is a well-known method to evaluate employee performance. Employee performance is the recent report of fuzzy logic that is able to determine decision parameter and value to give objective results. In the other hand, employee performance evaluation in the telecom industry using fuzzy logic has not been explored yet. Generally, the research employee performance evaluation assesses the appraisal in a common area and rarely specified which department or function to be assessed. As mentioned earlier, CS has an important employee position in telecommunication. Many parameters are involved when it comes to CS performance assessment. The discussion of Fuzzy logic as a method to sense can bear in mind more than one entered parameter with the uncertainty of each factor. The study improves classical methods to define another approach of the performance assessment system, identify a new standard assessment of employees in service, and create a new method using multi-stage with fuzzy logic. It proposes a case study for measuring the overall performance assessment machine of CS personnel in a telecommunication corporation that degrees performance assessment standards calculated using fuzzy logic.

The aim of this paper is to identify and determine the performance assessment and build a model to support decisions of CS performance assessment (M-CSA) of an employee by using fuzzy Mamdani and multi-stage rule base. Furthermore, the assessment results can be valuable for the company in improving, monitoring and evaluating the CS employee performance in Branch. The paper is organized by several sections with details as follows: literature review in section 2 that gives more description in theoretical, section 3 as methodology research, section 4 which shows constructed algorithm model and discussion, and the last section of conclusion and future work.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Customer Service Performance

Performance needs to be implemented in the company to; 1) Conduct a systematic learning process to get a bandage on business processes and performance in the field, and 2) Management tools to improve competitiveness, quality, and productive performance (Pourjavad & Mayorga, 2019). In addition, CS performance assessment makes it easier to provide rewards that produce quality customer service, provide training to speed up the service process, and achieve customer satisfaction.

Several works in performance evaluation with decision-support models have been reported. (Irfan Ramadhan et al., 2020) It Conducts a ranking process to increase employee performance using a hybrid method of fuzzy analytics. The results provide more selective and accurate weighting and ranking processes. (Mishra et al., 2020) A proposed framework to assess the best telecom service provider is based on the indicator of operational performance to improve service quality for customers. This research gives efficient, consistent, validated results.

Customer service as a frontline business of employees that establishes good interaction with the customer, effectively creates customer loyalty, and builds a good image for the company. The advantage of a positive image makes more appreciation, respect, and trust in good. CS, a service provider of employee in the telecommunication industry, provides direct interaction services with old and new customers regarding information needs about products, services, and complaints. Hence, CS must have good relations, provide satisfactory, excellent service, and communicate effectively with its customers to establish delivery service for attaining new, maintained, and extended customers. Therefore, CS has an essential role in the company and is required to build the ability to serve customers appropriately and to have critical practical communication skills.

2.2. Fuzzy Logic

Fuzzy Logic (FL) or bias logic is a method that provides more understanding of parameter descriptions with easy-to-understand parameter values (Utama, 2021), in mapping or processing data from input into output. This concept was introduced and published in 1965 by Lotfi A. Zadeh, a professor at the University of California in Berkeley. FL describes the variable's value by using the degree of membership as an expression language (Osman et al., 2017). The degree of membership of a matter is then used to determine the output based on a predetermined specification. The degree of membership or membership value or membership function is the main characteristic in the FL.



Fig. 1: FL Algorithm (Utama, 2021)

FL is described as an algorithm (Fig. 1) that is given crisp output as a precise value (Utama, 2021). First, fuzzification will be performed to convert data into fuzzy values. Second, it continues into the de-fuzzification procedure, which shifts the fuzzy results into a specific output (crisp output) to give the decision process for research. FL used as method for many fields for analyzing process, FL learned by (Wang et al., 2013) that successfully analyzed the classification of supply chain with incorporates of the decision preference into the optimization process.

2.3. Mamdani Method

The Mamdani is a popular method with the Max-Min concept, as the most commonly which is seen inference method. The inference method represents fuzzy structures that carry out fuzzy rules based on creating fast modeling (Pourjavad & Shahin, 2018).

Mamdani method produces an output consisting of (1) Determining the fuzzy set, the process of dividing universe data that is collected into several parts of linguistic variables using human language with easily understood. The MF function is a curve determining the value in crips inputs that mapped between 0 and 1. There are different forms of MFs, such as triangular, trapezoidal, Gaussian. This research used a triangular curve shown in Fig. 2. (2) In the rule evaluation, we use MIN as the inference algorithm that acquires the minimum membership degree of the input variables as the output variable. (3) The aggregation rule output, use maximum membership degree of each consequence in implicating the function for the entire conclusion, which aggregates end of each rule. Fuzzy area results gained as exposed in formula (1) and Fig. 3. Then, (4) Defuzzification; is made by transferring method to become output crisp from the fuzzy set. Fuzzy sets are the inputs of the defuzzification process, while the output is the number of those fuzzy domain sets.

Defuzzification use centroid method that shown in formula (2).



Fig. 2: Fuzzy Membership Function (Silvana et al., 2018)



Fig. 3: Aggregation of Mamdani method

2.4. Study Limitation

This study is limited to 13 of decision parameters for model's making decision in customer service performance evaluation. The parameter has been justified from literature review and justification experts. However, the service quality performance is the added value among these parameters. The expectation of this model is to be guidance for further strategic evaluation of employee performance and objective results for the company, with the aim to monitor in periodically that gives evaluation process more effective and efficient.

3. Research Methods

The study was made to determine the performance of customer service in the telecommunications industry. This research framework is depicted in Fig. 4. Problem analysis was carried out by conducting a study of previous literature papers on performance evaluation in various fields including hospitals, education, telecommunications industry, banking, air lines, logistics and transportation. Based on the summary in study of literature, it is necessary to develop a model using the concept of decision support in order to evaluate employee performance quickly and accurately. Later, the process continues by conducting the interview process to experts and studying literature related to customer service and employee performance evaluation to determine the parameters related to this evaluation. Through the interview process, researchers found gaps in problems that can be researched and can be useful for companies, employees and researchers. Based on a literature study, the use of decision support models can be applied to solve problems in the company. Fig. 4 is a detail of the model framework to be built. The model is constructed to improve the evaluation process in service quality, especially the CS performance in improving individual and organizational/company performance. The last stage is to verify and validate the model using actual data. Data verification and validation were carried out to ensure the data valid and avoid errors or inaccurate data. Verification and validation were seen from actual data in the field. It is expected that the results of data comparisons provide a high level of accuracy, faster and more efficient in decisions.

Then, Fig. 5 illustrates the stages of research from beginning to end. The stages are starting with the case of analyzing process, determining parameters, data collection, model construction and evaluation model.



Fig. 4: The Research Framework

4. Result

4.1. Selected Parameters

In using literature reviews and experts justification, parameterizing can be done. In this research. The amounts of parameters to use in the research for CS performance classification are 13 parameters. They consist of Compensation, motivation (Oktari & Suhardi, 2021) and training (Pramono & Prahiawan, 2021), teamwork (Ahmad & Manzoor, 2017), responsive, competence (Nwulu & Ateke, 2018), motivation, initiative, discipline (Permana et al., 2021) quality work (Azis et al., 2021), responsive, tangible, reliability, empathy, and assurance (Hussain et al., 2019). These parameters are grouped into three parts; they are productivity service, behaviour service, and customer interaction service. Group parameters are given the results of CS performance.

Productivity service is a measurement parameter for CS to improve employees' skills directly. This results service for final indicator performance of classical assessment in existing calculating method consists of competence, training, and compensation. This output can be referenced to the company as a measurement of indicator knowledge that develop supporting service quality. Another group parameter is a behaviour service as a measurement attitude of the employee in his habit activity as a personal employee. This service needs evaluation periodically because it can influence employee productivity that consists of motivation, initiative, teamwork, discipline, and quality work. Finally, the last group of parameters is customer interaction service, which is most important in assessing CS to serve the customer. The service process can be measured with customer interaction because it will be given customer satisfaction and it consists of responsive, tangible, reliability, empathy, and assurance.



Fig.5: Research Stages

The group parameters for the model can easily create CS performance classification, which can provide an early warning for bad performance and assign a reward for the best employee as CS. After data is obtained, the next stage recapitulates for further fuzzy processing. The initial stage is a fuzzy set based on each parameter's fuzzy parameters and linguistic variables. These parameters have previously determined that the effect of customer service performance. The MF is then made by visualization in the form of a graph with the final LV output of the CS performance consisting of Bad, Moderate and Good.

Influence diagrams are used to analyse problems and related factors directly or indirectly. All these parameter factors have a particular effect on the model. Therefore, this diagram is increasingly known and popular among researchers because it is easy to use in evaluating systems, and the results of information can be processed with more capable approach. Influence diagram is used to identify a correlation between method and parameters that is constructed model for this research (Fig. 6).



Fig. 6: Influence Diagram to Determine Parameters and Sub Parameters

All parameters are designed by FL. Each MF and LV is dedicated for each parameter. The parameter of salary (K1_1) has limit values: (1000000, 1000000, 2000000, 35000000), (2000000, 3500000, 4500000) and (3500000, 5000000, 5500000), that is distributed into three LV that are Low, Moderate and High as shown in Fig. 7. Furthermore, allowance (K1_2) and incentive (K1_3) of parameters have limit values: (0, 0, 100000, 200000), (100000, 250000, 350000) and (300000, 400000, 5000000, 500000), consist of Low, Moderate, High that is shown in Fig. 8. Parameter compensation (K1) is allocated into 3 LV that namely Low, Moderate, and High, with the limit values: (20, 20, 40, 50), (40, 60, 80) and (75, 90, 110, 110), these MF shown in Fig. 9.

Parameter traning (K3) is allocated into 2 LV that namely Seldom and often, they have triangular bounds: (0.0,0.0,2,4) and (2,4,6,6), shown in Fig. 10. Another parameters of motivation (K4), teamwork (K5), initiative (K6), discipline (K7), quality work (K8), responsive (K9), tangible (K10), reliability (K11), empathy (K12), and assurance (K13) divided into five LV low, medium, high, very high with limit values: (0.0,0.0,20,40), (20,40,60), (40,60,80), and (60,80,100,100), shown in Fig. 11.

The last parameter of Compensation (K1), competence (K2), behavior service (BS), productivity service (VS), CI Service (CI), CS Performance (PS) distributed in three LV Bad, Moderate, Good. These have limit values: (20, 20, 40, 50), (40, 60, 80) and (75, 90, 110, 110), these MF shown in Fig. 12.



4.2. The Constructed Multi-stage Rule Base

The component describes the rule base is implemented into the M-CSA system or model with justification experts. The hierarchy assessment consists of several parameters represented in layers: stage 1, stage 2, and stage 3. Rule base stage 1 has three input parameters (K1_1, K1_2, K1_3) for establishing output K1, as shown in Table 1. Then, Table 2-4 are depicted the stage of rule 2, which is divided from stage 2.1, which provides output PS with three-parameter inputs (K1, K2, K3), and stage 2.2 is shown output BS with five parameter inputs (K4, K5, K6, K7, K8). Next, Stage 2.3 is delivered output CI with five parameter inputs (K9, K10, K11, K12, K13). Finally, the last stage is shown in Table 5, given the ruling stage for

output decision CS performance.

The multi-stage rule base, known as the multi-layer model, built on rules from each input that can be analyzed more efficiently and denote human judiciousness (Hraiz et al., 2019). FL has supported to provide consistency and flexibility implemented in various field systems. The results for M-CSA will be a proposed objective and credible assessment and evaluation model.

| | Table 1: Rule base stage 1 |
|---------|--|
| Rule 1 | IF K1_1=Low AND K1_2=Low AND K1_3=Low THEN K1=Low |
| Rule 2 | IF K1_1=Low AND K1_2=Low AND K1_3=Moderate THEN K1=Low |
| | |
| Rule 24 | IF K1_1=High AND K1_2=Moderate AND K1_3=High THEN K1=High |
| Rule 25 | IF K1_1=High AND K1_2=High AND K1_3=Low THEN K1= High |
| Rule 26 | IF K1_1=High AND K1_2=High AND K1_3=Moderate THEN K1= High |
| Rule 27 | IF K1_1=High AND K1_2=High AND K1_3= High THEN K1= High |
| | |
| | Table 2: Rule base stage 2.1 |
| Rule 1 | IF K1=Low AND K2=Bad AND K3=Seldom THEN VS=Bad |
| Rule 2 | IF K1=Low AND K2=Bad AND K3=Often THEN VS =Bad |
| | |
| Rule 17 | IF K1=High AND K2=Good AND K3=Seldom THEN VS=Good |
| Rule 18 | IF K1=High AND K2=Good AND K3=Often THEN VS=Good |
| | |
| | Table 3: Rule base stage 2.2 |
| Rule 1 | IF K4=Low AND K5=Low AND K6=Low AND K7=Low AND K8=Low THEN BS =Bad |
| Rule 2 | IF K4=Low AND K5=Low AND K6=Low AND K7=Low AND |
| | K8=Moderate THEN BS=Bad |
| Dulo | IE KA-Vary High AND K5-Vary High AND K6-Vary High AND |
| 1023 | K7=Very High AND K8=Moderate THEN BS=Good |
| Rule | IF K4=Very High AND K5=Very High AND K6=Very High AND |
| 1024 | K7=Very High AND K8=High THEN BS=Good |
| | |
| | I able 4: Kule base stage 2.3 |
| Rule 1 | IF K9=LOW AND K10=LOW AND K11=LOW AND K12=LOW AND K13-Low THEN CL = Bad |
| D 1 0 | IF K9=Low AND K10=Low AND K11=Low AND K12=Low AND |
| Kule 2 | K13=Moderate THEN CI =Bad |
| | |
| Rule | IF K9=Very High AND K10=Very High AND K11=Very High AND |
| 1022 | K12=Very High AND K13=Middle THEN CI =Good |
| Rule | IF K9= Very High AND K10= Very High AND K11= Very High AND |

| 1023 | K12= Very High AND K13=High THEN CI =Good |
|---------|---|
| Rule | IF K9=Very High AND K10=Very High AND K11=Very High AND |
| 1024 | K12=Very High AND K13=Very High THEN CI=Good |
| | |
| | Table 5: Rule base stage 3 |
| Rule 1 | IF PS=Bad AND BS=Bad AND CI=Bad THEN PS=Bad |
| Rule 2 | IF PS=Bad AND BS=Bad AND CI=Moderate THEN PS=Bad |
| | |
| Rule 25 | IF PS=Moderate AND BS=Moderate AND CI=Bad THEN PS= Moderate |
| Rule 26 | IF PS=Good AND BS=Good AND CI=Good THEN PS=Moderate |
| Rule 27 | IF PS=Good AND BS=Good AND CI=Very Good THEN PS= Good |
| | |

4.3. The Algorithm of the Constructed Model

The model is built using DSM to assess employee performance appraisal using the FL Mamdani method. This strategy is often used in previous studies to improve assessment based on DSM theory. The resulting decisions provide convenience for management in evaluating quick decisions with complex problems in the company. In addition, it performs fuzzy reasoning in formulating problems to provide efficient and optimal results. The work process is thoroughly illustrated in the activity model on the class diagram and activity diagram.

Fig. 13 is a class diagram with five classes: CS performance, CS competence, membership function, fuzzy logic, limit value, and fuzzy rule base. CS performance is worked to designate the attribute of each CS based on identified parameters to assess CS performance as a model to be formed for the company. The CS Competence is a class using the mathematical method to perform calculations on sales and service. Furthermore, FL correlates with membership function, limit value, and rule base classes to produce the process of fuzzyfy(), inferenceRule(), and defuzzy().

Fig. 14 is an activity diagram that begins with determining the value of competence by calculating service skill and sales skill parameters. Within them, activities are carried out in reading fuzzy rules for compensation activities that produce crisp output compensation as the first stage. The activity is then construing fuzzy rules activities of productivity service, the activity of reading fuzzy behavior service rules and customer interaction service. These three activities produce productivity service (VS), behavior service (BS) and customer interaction service (CI) of output value, where these three activities enter the second stage of fuzzy rules. The last stage is the activity of generating CS performance output final by reading fuzzy performance service (PS) rules.



Fig. 13: Class Diagram for Assessing CS Performance Model

The Mamdani fuzzy process goes through several stages: fuzzy, inference, and defuzzy. Each phase is based on fuzzy rules from experts or literature studies that give output continuously. In addition, the rules generate multi-stage basic rules from fuzzy input parameters. Finally, The FL Mandani method is used in decisions to assess CS performance.

The conclusive results of the customer service performance devises the purpose of the domain value for assessment output. The good performance conditions have a scope value of 80 - 100, moderate conditions have scope value 40 - 79 and then the bad performance criteria have a scope value of fewer than 40. The outcomes from our computations are presented in Fig. 15.

Fig. 15 exhibits the final results of the 15 data presented. Although the visualization results show the test results with the highest performance score data with a score of 95.4 and the lowest score with a score of 93.07, the results of customer service performance give an average value of 94.01. Additionally, Fig. 16 shows the dashboard on CSR performance, the final value that uses color differences as a classification of bad, moderate and good, while Fig. 17 is a dashboard that displays the ranking of CSR performance. This dashboard is made using PHP and Codeigniter version 4.



Fig. 14: Activity Diagram for Assessing CS Performance Model



Fig. 15: Result of Assesing for Customer Service Performance

Furthermore, The process of validating the model is carried out to determine the degree of truth of a model by comparing the theory used with the model that has been built (Utama et al., 2017). The procedure of verification to prove the truth in the theory of variables, formulas, calculations, and calculation procedures. The 1.0 degree in result of the truth indicates that the model is verified and validated. Otherwise, the model is not feasible to build because it is not verified. Table 6 show the results of verification model with result 1.0 degree value.

Moreover, after verification model then we need the data of validation stage is carried out. The model validation process determines the degree of truth of the model data that compares to the data in the field. A summary of data validation can be seen in Table 7. The final validation result is 1.00 so that the model built is validated.



Fig. 16: Customer Service Performance Dashboard



Fig. 17: Customer Service Performance Dashboard – Ranking

| Table 6: Verfication Model of M-CSA | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Sub-Model | Element | Model | References | Verification Value | | | | |
| | Σ Variable | 13 | 13 | | | | | |
| Euzzy Logia | Procedure | V | V | | | | | |
| Fuzzy Logic | Formula | V | V | | | | | |
| | Result | 30 - 96 | 30 - 96 | | | | | |
| | Σ Variable | 2 | | | | | | |
| Mathematical Method | Procedure | V | V | 1.00 | | | | |
| | Formula | V | V | | | | | |
| | Result | 0 -100 | 0 - 100 | | | | | |

| | Table 7: | Validation | Model | of M-CSA |
|--|----------|------------|-------|----------|
|--|----------|------------|-------|----------|

| Sub Parameter | | Model | Field | Variable | | Value | | Validation Value |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------|---|-------|---|---------------------|
| Model | | | | Т | F | Т | F | |
| | Salary | | | | | | | |
| | Low Moderate High | 2000000 - 5000000 | 2000000 - 5000000 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| M- | | | | | | | | |
| CSA | CS Performance | | | | | | | 1.00 |
| | Bad | | | 1 | | 1 | | |
| | Moderate | 30 96 | 30 96 | 1 | | 1 | | |
| | Good | 50 - 90 | 50 - 90 | | | | | |

4.4. Discussion

The following step-by-step is to determine CSR performance by using the mathematical and fuzzy logic method that is described in Fig. 14. Mathematical method is used for calculating sales and service competence, as figured in Table 8. The next step is using a fuzzy process based on a multi-stage rule base with the de-fuzzification process to give crisp output using the centroid method in formula 2 (Table 9 - 13), which consists of compensation, productivity, behavior, Interaction and final output of CSR performance. The final output of CSR performance is categorized to be good, moderate, and bad, and then ranked as shown in Table 14.

| Name | Sales Con | npetence | Service Competence | Competence |
|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| CS1 | 88.0 | 07 | 100.00 | 94.03 |
| CS2 | 92.0 | 00 | 93.00 | 92.50 |
| | ••• | | | |
| CS14 | 94. | 17 | 93.00 | 93.58 |
| CS15 | 94.8 | 87 | 93.00 | 93.93 |
| Neme | Table 9: Result | de-fuzzificatio | on process for compensa | tion |
| Nama | Table 9: Result | de-fuzzificatio | on process for compensa | tion |
| Name | Table 9: Result Salary | de-fuzzificatio | on process for compensa e Incentive | tion Compensatio |
| Name CS1 | Table 9: Result Salary 4,750,000 | de-fuzzificatio Allowance 50,000 | on process for compensa Incentive 50,000 | tion Compensatio 95 |
| Name CS1 CS2 | Table 9: Result Salary 4,750,000 3,800,000 | de-fuzzificatio Allowance 50,000 50,000 | on process for compensa e Incentive 50,000 150,000 | tion Compensatio 95 56 |
| Name CS1 CS2 | Salary 4,750,000 3,800,000 | de-fuzzificatio Allowance 50,000 50,000 | on process for compensa Incentive 50,000 150,000 | tion Compensatio 95 56 |
| Name CS1 CS2 CS14 | Salary 4,750,000 3,800,000 5,500,000 | de-fuzzificatio Allowance 50,000 50,000 450,000 | on process for compensa Incentive 50,000 150,000 450,000 | tion Compensatio 95 56 95 |

Table 10: Result de-fuzzification process for productivity service

| Name | Competence | Compensation | Training | Productivity Service |
|------|------------|--------------|----------|----------------------|
| CS1 | 94.03 | 95 | 5 | 95.04 |
| CS2 | 92.50 | 56 | 5 | 94.79 |
| | | | | |
| CS14 | 93.58 | 95 | 5 | 95.40 |
| CS15 | 93.93 | 95 | 5 | 95.40 |

| TC 1 1 | 4.4 | D 1. | 1 0 | | | C | 1 | 1 . | |
|--------|-----|--------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|-------|--------|----------|
| Table | 11. | Result | de-t117 | 7111Catio | on nro | cess tr | or he | havior | service. |
| 1 uore | 11. | resure | uc iuz | Lincun | m pro | 0000 10 | | 1101 | 501 1100 |

| Name | Motivation | Discipline | Teamwork | Initiative | Quality | Behavior Service |
|------|------------|------------|----------|------------|---------|------------------|
| CS1 | 81.33 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 76 | 94.79 |
| CS2 | 72.00 | 76 | 72 | 80 | 80 | 79.84 |
| | | | | | •••• | |
| CS14 | 77.33 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 84 | 89.09 |
| CS15 | 86.67 | 80 | 80 | 80 | 84 | 95.40 |

| Name | Responsive | Tangible | Reliability | Empathy | Assurance | Customer Interaction Service | | | |
|------|------------|----------|-------------|---------|-----------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| CS1 | 88 | 72 | 80 | 88 | 92 | 79.84 | | | |
| CS2 | 84 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 95.40 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| CS14 | 68 | 80 | 76 | 88 | 88 | 74.17 | | | |
| CS15 | 84 | 76 | 96 | 92 | 80 | 86.43 | | | |

Table 12: Result de-fuzzification process for customer interaction service

| Table 13: Result de-fuzzification process for performance CSR | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------------|---------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Nterror | Productivity | ty Behavior Inte | | Performance | | | |
| Ivallie | Service | Service | Service | CSR | | | |
| CS1 | 95.40 | 94.79 | 79.84 | 93.18 | | | |
| CS2 | 94.79 | 79.84 | 95.40 | 93.18 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| CS14 | 95.40 | 89.09 | 74.17 | 93.07 | | | |
| CS15 | 95.40 | 95.40 | 86.43 | 94.67 | | | |

Table 14: Category & rank of CSR performance

| Name | Performance CSR | Category | Ranking | |
|------|-----------------|----------|---------|--|
| CS1 | 93.18 | Good | 7 | |
| CS2 | 93.18 | Good | 7 | |
| | | | | |
| CS14 | 93.07 | Good | 8 | |
| CS15 | 94.67 | Good | 2 | |

The results of performance score usually operate classical method in evaluation field that acquires at the last studying period. Accordingly, it has been implemented by (Kurniawan & Utama, 2021) to develop classical method. Besides, this research creates results score in service field to evaluation customer service performance that compare between classical model and fuzzy logic process as shown in Table 15.

| Table 15: Comparison with classical model result | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|
| Name | CS Performance final | CS Performance final |
| | (FL Mamdani Method) | (Classical Method) |
| CS1 | 93.18 | 94.03 |
| CS2 | 93.18 | 92.50 |
| CS3 | 95.40 | 87.48 |
| CS4 | 94.67 | 88.23 |
| CS5 | 94.22 | 88.93 |
| | | |
| CS11 | 93.80 | 84.52 |

Utama et al., Journal of System and Management Sciences, Vol. 13 (2023) No. 1, pp. 21-42

| CS12 | 94.11 | 91.17 |
|------|-------|-------|
| CS13 | 93.18 | 87.40 |
| CS14 | 93.07 | 93.58 |
| CS15 | 94.67 | 93.93 |

The customer service performance model constructs the final score in Table 14. It built can be a model solution for practical and reliability concepts in the firm. In addition, these outcomes can provide convenience for policymakers as an optimal evaluation process with scientific and contextual practice. The conclusion of the assessment decision uses various input parameters that affect internal employee factors and service interaction with adding another parameter, behavior and productivity service, for measuring service performance. This model was developed with enrichment by using a multi-stage rule base.

5. Conclusions and Future Work

A smart decision support model was successfully developed. It delivered a recommendation for the company based on performance in service. The researcher used thirteen parameters, i.e., compensation, competence, training, motivation, teamwork, initiative, discipline, quality work, responsive, tangible, reliability, empathy, and assurance. Fuzzy logic and Mamdani method were built to construct this model. Parameter defined with related CS performance, and then determine classification performance with specific criteria. This model can run efficiently and optimally for the company to provide the best decision.

More study is required to achieve the best outcomes, review other parameters that correlate with assessing performance and implement another parameter that can give the optimum result and the best recommendation for the company. Likewise, other machine learnings or deep learning algorithms would be allegedly able to be gained in the subsequent work. Additionally, an enormous number of respondents is intended for the representative result to engage in the advanced work.

References

Ahmad, I., & Manzoor, S. R. (2017). Effect of Teamwork, Employee Empowerment and Training on Employee Performance. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 7(11). https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i11/3472

Ahmad, I., & Manzoor, S. R. (2017). Effect of Teamwork, Employee Empowerment and Training on Employee Performance. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 7(11). https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v7-i11/3472

Azis, F. A., Mursid, M. C., Rahab, & Suliyanto. (2021). The Mediating Role of Employee Quality to Enhance Employee Performance: Acces la Success. Calitatea, 22(180), 82–85. https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/mediating-role-employee-quality-enhance/docview/2478618874/se-2?accountid=17242

Beheshtinia, M. A., & Farzaneh Azad, M. (2019). A fuzzy QFD approach using SERVQUAL and Kano models under budget constraint for hotel services. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence, 30(7–8), 808–830. https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2017.1340830

Diamantidis, A. D., & Chatzoglou, P. (2019). Factors affecting employee performance: an empirical approach. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 68(1), 171–193. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-01-2018-0012

Gupta, H. (2018). Assessing organizations performance on the basis of GHRM practices using BWM and Fuzzy TOPSIS. Journal of Environmental Management, 226, 201–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.08.005

Hraiz, R., Khader, M., & Shaout, A. (2019). A Multi-Stage Fuzzy Model for Assessing Applicants for Faculty Positions in Universities. International Journal of Intelligent Information Technologies, 15(1), 51–83. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJIIT.2019010103

Hussain, S. A. I., Baruah, D., Dutta, B., Mandal, U. K., Mondal, S. P., & Nath, T. (2019). Evaluating the impact of service quality on the dynamics of customer satisfaction in the telecommunication industry of Jorhat, Assam. Telecommunication Systems, 71(1), 31–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11235-018-0514-5

Irfan Ramadhan, M., Zarlis, M., & Nasution, B. B. (2020). Performance analysis of combination of fuzzy analytic hierarchy process (FAHP) algorithms with preference ranking organization method for enrichment evaluation algorithm (PROMETHEE II) in the ranking process to determine the increase in employee class. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 725(1), 012107. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/725/1/012107

Izquierdo, N. V., Lezama, O. B. P., Dorta, R. G., Viloria, A., Deras, I., & Hernández-Fernández, L. (2018). Fuzzy Logic Applied to the Performance Evaluation. Honduran Coffee Sector Case (pp. 164–173). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93818-9_16

Ju, S.-W. (2022). A Study on the Effect of Service Quality on Satisfaction and Corporate Image in Enterprise Exhibition Hall. Journal of System and Management Sciences, 12(2), 443–461.

Kalyan, Dr. N. B., & Pedirappagari, V. R. (2019). Employee Performance Appraisal in Telecom Industry, India. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3471721

Kurniawan, D., & Utama, D. N. (2021). Decision Support Model using FIM Sugeno for Assessing the Academic Performance. Advances in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal, 6(1), 605–611. https://doi.org/10.25046/aj060165

Mishra, A. R., Singh, R. K., & Motwani, D. (2020). Intuitionistic fuzzy divergence measure-based ELECTRE method for performance of cellular mobile telephone service providers. Neural Computing and Applications, 32(8), 3901–3921. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-018-3716-6

Nguyen et al. (2021). Employer Attractiveness and Employee Performance: An Exploratory Study. Journal of System and Management Sciences, 11, 97–123. https://doi.org/10.33168/JSMS.2021.0107

Nwulu, C. S., & Ateke, B. (2018). Frontline Employee Competence Development and Responsiveness of Quick Service Restaurants. European Journal of Social Science Studies, 2. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1146095

Oktari, S. D., & Suhardi, A. R. (2021). Work Motivation And Compensation To Support Employee Performance At PT. A. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education, 12(8), 705–710.

Osman, B., & Zhu, H. (2017). Modeling and Analysis of Milling Machine Control Process without/with Using Fuzzy Interface Mamdani Style Based On Matlab/Simulink. International Journal of Control and Automation, NADIA, 10(2), 315-326, doi: 10.14257/ijca.2017.10.2.26.

Permana, B. C., Mursito, B., & Sarsono. (2021). Employee performance reviewed from initiative, work discipline, work motivation, and employee readiness for change in the central java ii regional office of the directorate general of taxes. International Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting Research (IJEBAR), 5(3).

Pourjavad, E., & Mayorga, R. v. (2019). A comparative study and measuring performance of manufacturing systems with Mamdani fuzzy inference system. Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 30(3), 1085–1097. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-017-1307-5

Pourjavad, E., & Shahin, A. (2018). The Application of Mamdani Fuzzy Inference System in Evaluating Green Supply Chain Management Performance. International Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 20(3), 901–912. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40815-017-0378-y

Pramono, A. C., & Prahiawan, W. (2021). Effect Of Training On Employee Performance With Competence And Commitment As Intervening. APTISI Transactions on Management (ATM), 6(2), 142–150. https://doi.org/10.33050/atm.v6i2.1742

Scheidt, S., & Chung, Q. B. (2019). Making a case for speech analytics to improve customer service quality: Vision, implementation, and evaluation. International Journal of Information Management, 45, 223–232. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.01.002

Schipani, P. (2019). Research on the Influence of Customer Value on Purchase Intention Based on Service-dominant Logic. International Journal of Smart Business and Technology, 7(1), 27-36. https://doi.org/10.21742/IJSBT.2019.7.1.03

Silvana, M., Akbar, R., Derisma, Audina, M., & Firdaus. (2018). Development of Classification Features of Mental Disorder Characteristics Using The Fuzzy Logic Mamdani Method. 2018 International Conference on Information Technology Systems and Innovation (ICITSI), 410–414. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICITSI.2018.8696043

Utama, D. N. (2021). Logika Fuzzy untuk Model Penunjang Keputusan, Dilengkapi dengan Penerapan Contoh Kasus. Garudhawaca.

Utama, D. N., Lazuardi, L. I., Qadrya, H. A., Caroline, B. M., Renanda, T., & Sari, A. P. (2017). Worth eat: An intelligent application for restaurant recommendation based on customer preference (Case study: Five types of restaurant in Tangerang Selatan region, Indonesia). 2017 5th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology (ICoIC7), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICoICT.2017.8074654

Wang, R., Zhu, X., & Li, F. (2013). Supply Chain Risk Evaluation Model in Fuzzy Environment. LISS 2012 - Proceedings of 2nd International Conference on Logistics, Informatics and Service Science, 2(4), 1305–1311. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32054-5_185

Zaaidatunni'mah, Dewi, M. T., al Hakim, M. F., & Jumanto. (2021). Implementation of Fuzzy Tsukamoto in Employee Performance Assessment. Journal of Soft Computing Exploration